

**SAMPLE BALLOT  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE  
NOVEMBER 2, 2004**

**To vote, fill in the circle next to your choice. Use ink or pencil. If you make a mistake, don't hesitate to ask for a new ballot. If you erase or make other marks, your vote may not count.**

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #1**

**Request for Future Land Use Map #2004-1-M-003 Change from Mixed Use C to Activity Center.**

The proposed amendment requests a future land use change of 4.61 acres on the western 150 feet of four parcels fronting on the west side of Midyette Road from Mixed Use Commercial to Activity Center.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #3**

**Request for Future Land Use Map #2004-1-M-005 Change from Mixed Use C to University Transition.**

The proposed amendment requests a future land use change of 1.87 acres on the southeast corner of West Call Street and Conradi Street from Mixed Use C to University Transition.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #5**

**Request for Future Land Use Map #2004-1-T-007 Change from Industrial to Mixed Use B.**

The proposed amendment requests a future land use change of 20.0 acres on the north side of West Tharpe Street at its western terminus from Industrial to Mixed Use B.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #2**

**Request for Future Land Use Map #2004-1-M-004 Change from Industrial to Mixed Use B.**

The proposed amendment requests a future land use change of 41.88 acres on the south side of Tower Road, west side of CSX RR, east of Bombadil Drive, and north of Lakewood Industrial Park from Industrial to Mixed Use B.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #4**

**Request for Future Land Use Map #2004-1-M-006 Change from Rural to Urban Fringe.**

The proposed amendment requests a future land use change of 28.38 acres on the south side of Tram Road, east of Fritz Lane and west of Hidden Lakes Drive from Rural to Urban Fringe.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #6**

**Technical Revisions to Policy 1.2.5[H] in the Housing Element of the Tallahassee Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed amendment requires the City to adopt an ordinance specifying a methodology and process to ensure implementation of the developer-provided affordable housing contribution specified in Policy 1.2.4 as well as provide for a voluntary incentive based program.

FOR

AGAINST

**SAMPLE BALLOT**  
**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
**NOVEMBER 2, 2004**

**To vote, fill in the circle next to your choice. Use ink or pencil. If you make a mistake, don't hesitate to ask for a new ballot. If you erase or make other marks, your vote may not count.**

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #7**

**Amendment to Policy 1.3.6 [HC] in the Housing Element of the Leon County Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed amendment requires the County to facilitate the provision of homeownership opportunities for low and moderate income households within future development; to encourage the even distribution of these opportunities throughout the community; and to prevent negative impacts associated with geographic over concentration of low-income households, the Count shall provide incentives for the voluntary provision of residential units. The development order shall specify the minimum percentage of affordable housing units required to be built.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #9**

**Technical Revisions to Policy 1.3.8 [HC] on Affordable Housing in Comprehensive Land Use Plan.**

The proposed amendment requires all Target Planning Areas, Critical Planning Areas, and Developments of Regional Impact to address the provision of affordable housing. The Land Development Regulations shall provide the criteria and procedures to implement this policy.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #11**

**Amendment to Insert New Definition for Conservation Subdivision in Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed amendment defines conservation subdivision as a residential or mixed-use development that has been developed utilizing a design approach in which a significant fraction of the parent tract(s) is reserved as permanently protected open space and the remaining fraction of the land is developed, otherwise known as clustering.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #8**

**Technical Revisions to Policy 1.3.7 [HC] on Developer Incentives for Affordable Housing in Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed amendment requires by 2004 the County shall adopt an ordinance providing developer incentives for the provision of low and moderate income homeownership opportunities within new developments or at nearby off-site locations.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #10**

**Amendment to City of Tallahassee and Leon County Capital Improvements Element #2004-1-M-011.**

The proposed amendment is the annual update of the 5-Year Schedule of Capital Improvements for the City of Tallahassee and Leon County. The purposed of this update is to ensure accuracy, outline scheduling as it pertains to funding; and identify advanced-funded State of Florida Highway Projects (See pages 26-88 of City of Tallahassee and Leon County Comprehensive Land Use Plan Amendment submittal packet).

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #12**

**Amendment to Insert New Definition for Density Neutral in Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed amendment defines density neutral, as applied to conservation subdivisions, as the allowable density achieved through the utilization of the conservation subdivision design approach shall not exceed the maximum density established for the Future Land Use Map category and base zoning district applicable to the subject property or properties.

FOR

AGAINST

**SAMPLE BALLOT  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE  
NOVEMBER 2, 2004**

**To vote, fill in the circle next to your choice. Use ink or pencil. If you make a mistake, don't hesitate to ask for a new ballot. If you erase or make other marks, your vote may not count.**

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #13**

**Amendment to Insert New Definition  
for Open Space in Comprehensive  
Plan.**

The proposed amendment defines open space as undeveloped lands suitable for passive recreation or conservation use. In the context of conservation subdivisions, open space refers to lands subject to a required conservation easement. These lands may include Conservation and Preservation features, or agriculture or silviculture properties that are managed to preserve or promote environmental and aesthetic resources.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #15**

**Amendment to Insert New Policy  
2.2.1 on Premature Conversion of  
Rural Land in Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed amendment minimizes the threat of premature conversion of rural land, including properties supporting bona fide agriculture and silviculture, the Conservation Subdivision option will not be allowed within the Rural Future Land Use category, with the exception of those areas designated as Rural Residential within the Bradfordville Sector Plan.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #17**

**Amendment to Insert New Policy  
2.2.3 on Phasing of Conservation  
Subdivisions in Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed amendment states that in order to better judge the impacts of conservation subdivisions on the demands for services and effects of coordinating protected open space, local government may phase the implementation of Conservation Subdivisions within Future Land Use Categories more dense than Urban Fringe via the adoption of land development regulation provisions, beginning with Urban Fringe Future Land Use category and those areas designated as Rural Residential within the Bradfordville Sector Plan.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #14**

**Amendment to Insert New Objective  
2.2 on Design Options in  
Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed amendment inserts objective 2.2 requiring conservation subdivision land development regulations in the County to be amended by 2004 to provide design options and guidelines for the development of conservation subdivisions that advance environmental resource protection or restoration or promote infill and redevelopment. The City may adopt similar provisions.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #16**

**Amendment to Insert New Policy  
2.2.2 on Location of Conservation  
Subdivisions in Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed amendment requires local government, within the land development regulations, to define for various Future Land Use categories in which conservation subdivisions are allowed, any applicable density bonus incentives, and the minimum percentages of parent tract(s) to be protected as contiguous open space within conservation subdivisions. Conservation subdivisions within the Urban Fringe will be density neutral, and allow no units greater than those achievable via conventional site plans found in the City Comprehensive Plan.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #18**

**Amendment to Insert New Policy  
2.2.3 on Phasing of Conservation  
Subdivisions in Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed amendment states that in order to better judge the impacts of conservation subdivisions on the demands for services and effects of coordinating protected open space, local government may phase the implementation of Conservation Subdivisions within Future Land Use Categories more dense than Urban Fringe via the adoption of land development regulation provisions, beginning with Urban Fringe Future Land Use category and those areas designated as Rural Residential within the Bradfordville Sector Plan.

FOR

AGAINST

**SAMPLE BALLOT  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE  
NOVEMBER 2, 2004**

**To vote, fill in the circle next to your choice. Use ink or pencil. If you make a mistake, don't hesitate to ask for a new ballot. If you erase or make other marks, your vote may not count.**

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #19**

**Amendment to Insert New Policy  
2.2.4 on Zoning Conservation  
Subdivisions in Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed amendment requires conservation subdivisions to be zoned as Planned Unit Developments (PUDs) or the land development regulations to be otherwise crafted in order to provide the necessary flexibility with respect to setbacks, minimum lot sizes, street widths, and parking requirements etc., to increase the likelihood of employing this site design option and foster long-term viability and usefulness of the open space subject to the required conservation easement.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #21**

**Amendment to Insert New Policy  
2.2.6 on Buffers for Conservation  
Subdivisions in Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed amendment requires all critical on-site resources that are to be preserved to be of adequate size and buffered to ensure protection of the resource in the implementation of Conservation Subdivision.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #23**

**Amendment to Insert New Policy  
2.2.8 on Permanent Easements in  
Conservation Subdivisions in  
Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed amendment states local government shall require open space and related resources to be placed under a permanent easement that runs with the land in the implementation of conservation subdivisions. Said easements may be assigned to (1) local government or (2) a local or national land trust that is a 501(c)(3) organization for which conservation of resources is a principal goal.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #20**

**Amendment to Insert New Policy  
2.2.5 on Preservation and  
Conservation Features in  
Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed amendment requires preservation features in Policy 1.3.3 [C] and conservation features in Policy 1.3.1 [C] to be incorporated into the open space of any conservation subdivision. The Planning and respective Growth Management departments will encourage the use of Conservation Subdivisions wherever parent tracts have been demonstrated to contain bona fide agriculture and silviculture, environmentally significant features, historically or archaeologically significant resources, or direct connections with existing or planned greenway corridors.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #22**

**Amendment to Insert New Policy  
2.2.7 on Open Spaces within  
Conservation Subdivisions in  
Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed amendment states, where possible, local government shall require protected open space(s) within conservation subdivisions to be established adjacent or contiguous with existing or planned green space, whether public or private.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #24**

**Amendment to Insert New Policy  
2.2.9 on Management Plans for  
Conservation Subdivisions in  
Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed amendment states local government shall require all applications for conservation subdivisions to prepare a management plan for all protected open space and a dedicated source of revenues to ensure that all appropriate management activities are undertaken on a regular basis and that all terms of conservation easement are monitored and enforced.

FOR

AGAINST

**SAMPLE BALLOT  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE  
NOVEMBER 2, 2004**

**To vote, fill in the circle next to your choice. Use ink or pencil. If you make a mistake, don't hesitate to ask for a new ballot. If you erase or make other marks, your vote may not count.**

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #25**

**Amendment to Insert New Policy  
2.2.10 on Cooperation with  
Conservation in Comprehensive  
Plan.**

The proposed amendment encourages local and state government to enter into agreements with managers of protected open space within conservation subdivisions where it would be mutually advantageous to consolidate management activities between the subdivision and any adjoining public lands.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #27**

**Amendment to Insert Policy 2.2.12 on  
Conservation Subdivision Housing  
Types in Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed amendment encourages conservation subdivisions to include a range of housing types and sizes, and shall not be exempt from any adopted applicable affordable housing provisions.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #29**

**Amendment to Renumber Growth  
Management Strategy (3) in  
Implementation Section of  
Tallahassee-Leon County  
Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed amendment renumbers strategy number (3) to (4) regarding commercial site location standards in order to integrate commercial land uses into the transportation network and development patterns in order to ensure accessibility by the general public.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #26**

**Amendment to Insert Policy 2.2.11 on  
Assessments of Conservation  
Subdivisions in Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed amendment requires the Property Appraiser's office to assess at the minimum rate or charge the applicable fee to all land preserved within a conservation subdivision, including property in bona fide agriculture and silviculture use. However, the appraised value of associated developed land shall reflect any added value provided by proximity to protected open space.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #28**

**Amendment to Insert New Growth  
Management Strategy in  
Implementation Section of  
Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed amendment inserts a new growth management strategy (3) in the implementation section of the Tallahassee-Leon County Comprehensive Plan. This strategy requires a Future Right-of-Way Needs Map to geographically represent planned future transportation projects in the City of Tallahassee and Leon County and a table of projects indicating the project termini and access classifications. Objectives and policies related to the Future ROW Needs Map are provided in the Transportation Element.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #30**

**Amendment to Renumber Growth  
Management Strategy (4) in  
Implementation Section of  
Tallahassee-Leon County  
Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed amendment renumbers strategy number (4) to (5) regarding land use summary charts which provide guidance on specific sites based upon performance criteria derived from planning principles.

FOR

AGAINST

**SAMPLE BALLOT  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE  
NOVEMBER 2, 2004**

**To vote, fill in the circle next to your choice. Use ink or pencil. If you make a mistake, don't hesitate to ask for a new ballot. If you erase or make other marks, your vote may not count.**

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #31**

**Amendment to Renumber Growth Management Strategy (5) in Implementation Section of Tallahassee-Leon County Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed amendment renumbers strategy number (5) to (6) regarding descriptions of nine different Mixed Use development patterns which establish development pattern intent, allowed density and intensity, development pattern location criteria, and development pattern access criteria within the Mixed Use Category.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #33**

**Amendment to Renumber Growth Management Strategy (7) in Implementation Section of Tallahassee-Leon County Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed amendment renumbers strategy number (7) to (8) regarding Goals, Objectives, and Policies which further add guidance by outlining courses of action to take in conjunction with the instruments listed above to further ensure implementation. These instruments do not act independently of each other. Rather, they combine to form a set of parameters in which land use decisions are made consistent with a growth management strategy designed to address important issues to the community.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #35**

**Technical Revisions to Objective 1.3 [T] on Future Transportation Corridors in Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed amendment deletes previous language for Objective 1.3 [T] and inserts the requirement to "[I]dentify right-of-way needed for planned future transportation improvements and protect it from building encroachment as development occurs to preserve the corridor for transportation use, to maintain transportation level of service for concurrency, to improve coordination between land use and transportation, and to minimize the adverse social, economic, and environmental impacts of transportation facilities on the community."

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #32**

**Amendment to Renumber Growth Management Strategy (6) in Implementation Section of Tallahassee-Leon County Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed amendment renumbers strategy number (6) to (7) regarding a population distribution map to provide guidance and coordinate long range capital infrastructure planning.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #34**

**Technical Revision to Policy 1.1.7 [T] on Environmental Protection in Comprehensive Land Use Plan.**

The amendment aims to aesthetically enhance and provide added environmental protection to existing and new transportation corridors. The proposed technical revision is to a specific method which states, "[A]pplying access management strategies that enhance the character of transportation corridors and gateways to the community by promoting shared access and consolidated signage and preserving green space for landscaping."

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #36**

**Technical Revisions to Policy 1.3.1 [T] on Corridor Management Ordinances in Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed amendment requires the City and County to adopt corridor management ordinances, in accordance with subsection 337.273(6), F.S., which are designed to protect future transportation corridors designated in the Tallahassee-Leon County Comprehensive Plan from development encroachment, to provide for right-of-way acquisition, and to mitigate potential adverse impacts on affected property owners. The future right-of-way needs chart is depicted in Amendment 04-1-T-014 of the Corridor Preservation Section (page 95-96).

FOR

AGAINST

**SAMPLE BALLOT**  
**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
**NOVEMBER 2, 2004**

**To vote, fill in the circle next to your choice. Use ink or pencil. If you make a mistake, don't hesitate to ask for a new ballot. If you erase or make other marks, your vote may not count.**

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #37**

**Amendment to Insert New Policy  
1.3.3A [T] on Transportation Plan in  
Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed new policy requires City and County Staff to review the status of the adopted Transportation Plan and corresponding Future Right-of-Way Needs Map every two years and update them as necessary to address the growth and mobility needs of the local government.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #38**

**Amendment to Insert New Policy  
1.3.3B [T] on Designated Future  
Transportation Corridors in  
Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed new policy requires all proposed development plans on designated future transportation corridors to be reviewed for consistency with the Future Right-of-Way Needs Map (Exhibit A) and any specific alignment or engineering studies and will be consistent with identified right-of-way needs for designated future corridors as a condition of development approval.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #39**

**Amendment to Insert New Policy  
1.3.3C [T] on Impacts to State  
Highways in Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed amendment requires City and County staff to consult with the Florida Department of Transportation in determining conceptual alignments, acquiring future right-of-way, and reviewing proposed development that substantially impacts state highways designated for improvement in the Tallahassee-Leon County Comprehensive Plan to ensure that local decisions are consistent with state and federal policy, and to ensure that development activity does not substantially impair the viability of the future state transportation corridor.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #40**

**Amendment to Insert New Policy  
1.3.3D [T] on Funding for Future  
Corridors in Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed amendment explores land banking policies, procedures and funding options to facilitate early acquisition of right-of-way for designated future transportation corridors.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #41**

**Amendment to Delete Policy 1.3.5 [T].**

The proposed amendment deletes Policy 1.3.5 [T] identifying preferred alternative alignments as part of a Corridor Study.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #42**

**Amendment to Insert New Policy  
1.5.2A [T] on Signalized Access  
Points in Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed amendment requires signalized access points on arterial and major collector roadways to not be approved where they substantially disrupt the ability to synchronize signals and maintain continuous traffic progression.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #43**

**Amendment to Delete Policy 1.5.3 [T].**

The proposed amendment deletes Policy 1.5.3 [T] requiring coordination with other governments to adopt an integrated driveway standard and permitting requirement for all new or rebuilt driveways and streets.

FOR

AGAINST

**SAMPLE BALLOT**  
**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
**NOVEMBER 2, 2004**

**To vote, fill in the circle next to your choice. Use ink or pencil. If you make a mistake, don't hesitate to ask for a new ballot. If you erase or make other marks, your vote may not count.**

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #44**

**Technical Revisions to Policy 1.5.4 [T] on Access Management Ordinances and Design Standards.**

The proposed amendment requires the City and County to adopt and maintain access management ordinances and supporting design standards to control the location, spacing, operation and design of access connections and median openings. Development access shall be designed to protect the maximum service volume, safety, and operating characteristics of roads that it impacts. Some access design options include, but not limited to: minimum access spacing, medians, shared access, interconnections and cross access.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #45**

**Amendment to Insert New Policy 1.5.4A [T] on Access Connections with Adequate Sight Distances.**

The proposed amendment inserts Policy 1.5.4A [T] requiring all access connections to have adequate sight distance for safe entry and exit and to be located and designed to accommodate intended operations and storage needs and to minimize conflict points on the abutting road.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #46**

**Amendment to Insert New Policy 1.5.4B [T] on Access Connections near Arterial or Collector Roadways.**

The proposed amendment inserts Policy 1.5.4B [T] requiring access connections not to be permitted in the physical or functional area of the intersections of arterial or collector roadways.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #47**

**Amendment to Insert New Policy 1.5.4C [T] on Platted Lots near Arterial or Collector Roadways.**

The proposed amendment inserts Policy 1.5.4C [T] requiring no new lot or parcel to be platted or created along arterial or collector roadways that would result in connection spacing that does not comply with the applicable local or FDOT connection spacing standard.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #48**

**Amendment to Insert New Policy 1.5.4D [T] on Access Management Properties Considered One Property.**

The proposed amendment inserts Policy 1.5.4D [T] requiring properties under the same ownership, consolidated for development, or part of phased development plans will be considered one property for the purposes of access management. Access points to such developments will be the minimum necessary to provide reasonable access, rather than the maximum available for that property.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #49**

**Amendment to Insert New Policy 1.5.4E [T] on Service Roads for Access to Development.**

The proposed amendment inserts Policy 1.5.4E [T] requiring service roads to be used for access to development in the area surrounding new freeway interchanges and to be separated from interchange ramps at a distance that conforms with FDOT or local access spacing standards, in order to preserve safe and efficient traffic operations in the interchange area. Circulation systems for interchange area development shall be continuous and designed to support both vehicular and pedestrian mobility.

FOR

AGAINST

**SAMPLE BALLOT  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE  
NOVEMBER 2, 2004**

**To vote, fill in the circle next to your choice. Use ink or pencil. If you make a mistake, don't hesitate to ask for a new ballot. If you erase or make other marks, your vote may not count.**

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

**Amendment #50**  
**Amendment to Insert New Policy  
1.5.4F [T] on Flexibility in  
Administration of Access Spacing  
Standards.**

The proposed amendment inserts Policy 1.5.4F [T] requiring flexibility to be provided in administration of access spacing standards to accommodate minor deviations, where appropriate, and to ensure that no property is denied reasonable access to the transportation system. Major deviations from access spacing standards shall not be granted until every feasible option for meeting access management standards has been explored and deemed impractical.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

**Amendment #51**  
**Amendment to Insert New Policy  
1.5.4G [T] on Intergovernmental  
Agreement with Florida Department  
of Transportation.**

The proposed amendment inserts Policy 1.5.4G [T] requiring the City and the County to work with the Florida Department of Transportation to establish a procedure and/or intergovernmental agreement for coordinating with FDOT on access permitting decisions along state highways in the community.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

**Amendment #52**  
**Amendment to Delete Policy 1.5.9 [T].**

The proposed amendment deletes Policy 1.5.9 [T] requiring sufficient building setback at intersections in order to provide for the inclusion of frontage roads for large scale commercial projects and/or emerging commercial areas.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

**Amendment #53**  
**Technical Revisions to Policy 1.5.9  
[T] on Cul-de-sacs in Comprehensive  
Plan.**

The proposed amendment requires cu-de-sacs to be limited in length and have a turnaround that accommodate emergency and delivery vehicles in order to protect emergency access and to promote convenient daily use. Dead-end streets other than cu-de-sacs shall not be permitted unless they are designed to connect with future streets on adjacent land, in which case an adequate temporary easement must be provided at the end of the street.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

**Amendment #54**  
**Amendment to Insert New Policy  
1.6.9 [T] on Unified Circulation  
System in Development Plans.**

The proposed amendment requires all development plans to contribute to developing a local and collector-street and unified circulation system that will allow multimodal access to and from the proposed development as well as access to surrounding developments.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

**Amendment #55**  
**Amendment to Insert New Policy  
1.6.10 [T] on Sub-Arterial Streets in  
Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed amendment requires all development plans to incorporate and continue all sub-arterial streets stubbed to the boundary of the development plan by previously approved development plans or existing development.

FOR

AGAINST

**SAMPLE BALLOT  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE  
NOVEMBER 2, 2004**

**To vote, fill in the circle next to your choice. Use ink or pencil. If you make a mistake, don't hesitate to ask for a new ballot. If you erase or make other marks, your vote may not count.**

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

**Amendment #56**

**Technical Revisions to Policy 1.10.3[T] on Conveyance of Transportation Right-of-Way in Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed amendment states development orders may require conveyance of transportation rights-of-way consistent with Exhibit A (Future ROW Needs Map) and Table A (Future Right-of-Way Needs and Access Classifications), as a condition of plat or development approval, provided that any required dedication shall not exceed the amount of land that is roughly proportionate to the impacts of the development on the transportation network.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

**Amendment #58**

**Technical Revisions to Policy 1.12.1 [T] on Capital Circle Road Improvements in Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed amendment implements policies in order to protect traffic capacity as Capital Circle is converted to a high capacity, multi-lane arterial, future access-points shall be limited so that the improved roadway will function more efficiently and safely for its intended purpose. Applicants must enter an agreement to share access points. New development must contribute to a system of local and collector roads. The City and County shall work with FDOT to upgrade access classifications.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

**Amendment #60**

**Amendment to Insert New Policy 1.1.6 [CI] on Proposed Capital Projects in the City and County.**

The proposed amendment inserts Policy 1.1.6 [CI] requiring all proposed capital projects in the City and County to be consistent with the adopted Transportation Plan and designated future transportation corridors.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

**Amendment #61**

**Amendment to Insert New Definition for Freeways in Tallahassee-Leon County Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed amendment defines Freeways as roadways that provide the highest level of mobility and are intended to carry the greatest amount of traffic at the highest speeds. Accordingly, freeway main-lanes provide no direct access to property and access to the freeway is provided only at interchanges and ramps.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

**Amendment #57**

**Amendment to Delete Policy 1.10.4 [T].**

The proposed amendment deletes Policy 1.10.4 [T] allowing local government to wave impact fees and/or require dedications of land for improvements to the transportation system deemed consistent with the overall Traffic Circulation and Land Use Plan.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

**Amendment #59**

**Amendment to Delete Policy 1.12.2 [T].**

The proposed amendment deletes Policy 1.12.2 [T] requiring median openings in Capital Circle to be no closer than a quarter mile apart.

FOR

AGAINST

**SAMPLE BALLOT  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE  
NOVEMBER 2, 2004**

**To vote, fill in the circle next to your choice. Use ink or pencil. If you make a mistake, don't hesitate to ask for a new ballot. If you erase or make other marks, your vote may not count.**

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

**Amendment #62**

**Amendment to Insert New Definition  
for Functional Area of an Intersection  
in Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed amendment defines Functional Area of an Intersection as the area beyond the physical intersection that comprises decision and maneuvers distance, plus any required vehicle storage length, and is protected through corner clearance standards and connection spacing standards.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

**Amendment #63**

**Amendment to Delete Definition of  
Intersections in Tallahassee-Leon  
County Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed amendment deletes the definition for intersections in Tallahassee-Leon County Comprehensive Land Use Plan.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

**Amendment #64**

**Technical Revisions to Definition of  
Local Streets in Tallahassee-Leon  
County Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed amendment defines Local Streets as roads that collect traffic from adjacent land uses and other minor streets and channels it to the collector/arterial system. Local streets are intended to carry the lowest traffic volumes at the lowest speeds, discourage through traffic and to provide access to abutting land.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

**Amendment #65**

**Technical Revisions to Definition of  
Major Collector in Tallahassee-Leon  
County Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed amendment defines Major Collector as roadways that channel traffic between arterials, from other collector streets to the arterial system, and from a major activity center to the arterial street system. Major collectors may carry relatively high traffic volumes and are similar in function to minor arterials, but in a more limited fashion than minor collectors or local roads given the higher traffic volumes.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

**Amendment #66**

**Technical Revisions to Definition of  
Minor Arterial in Tallahassee-Leon  
County Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed amendment defines Minor Arterial as interconnected roadways and augments the principal arterial system. They are similar in function to principal arterials, but accommodate trips of more moderate length and distribute travel to geographic areas smaller than that of the principal arterial system. Therefore, they provide a somewhat higher degree of property access than principal arterials.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

**Amendment #67**

**Technical Revisions to Definition of  
Minor Collector in Tallahassee-Leon  
County Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed amendment defines Minor Collector as roadways that channel traffic from minor streets to the major collector/arterial system, between other collectors, and from activity centers to a street of higher classification. Minor Collectors provide access to adjoining properties and generally have lower volumes, shorter trip lengths, and fewer through trips.

FOR

AGAINST

**SAMPLE BALLOT**  
**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
**NOVEMBER 2, 2004**

**To vote, fill in the circle next to your choice. Use ink or pencil. If you make a mistake, don't hesitate to ask for a new ballot. If you erase or make other marks, your vote may not count.**

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

**Amendment #68**

**Technical Revisions to Definition of Principal Arterial in Tallahassee-Leon County Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed amendment defines Principal Arterial as roadways that are designed to carry the next highest level of mobility and are intended to carry substantial traffic volumes over relatively long distances and at relatively high speeds. Direct property access may be provided but must be carefully managed to avoid creating unsafe and congested conditions and to preserve mobility.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

**Amendment #70**

**Technical Revisions to Policy 1.2.1 [L] on Land Use Location in Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed amendment emphasizes land use location that minimizes topographical changes. The proposed land use should fit the site location. The location should not be substantially altered to fit the proposed land use, unless an off-site mitigation plan for the development if a site with significant grades has been approved. Such off-site mitigation plans shall recognize the contribution of preserved significant grades to community character, ameliorating the impacts of stormwater, and preserving native plant communities.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

**Amendment #72**

**Technical Revisions to Policy 1.3.2 [C] on Conservation Overlay Districts in Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed amendment requires potential development within areas of the conservation overlay district to exhibit best environmental management practices with the emphasis on designing with nature. For significant grades only, off-site mitigation may be approved under criteria to be included in land development regulations that allow development of a site with significant grades, and where net environmental benefit can be demonstrated via both enhanced mitigation measures on-site and protective measures at an external site.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

**Amendment #69**

**Technical Revisions to Conservation Areas Development Criteria Section in Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed amendment outlines the Conservation Areas Development Criteria which require special considerations for development due to significant environmental constraints in the conservation areas. Mitigation via the transfer of development to non-environmentally sensitive areas on-site is preferable. Land development regulations shall be developed that permit off-site mitigation for significant grades on properties within or adjoining Capital Circle, south of Interstate 10 that meet the criteria set forth in the Conservation Element.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

**Amendment #71**

**Technical Revisions to Policy 1.2.2. [L] on Appropriate Development for Existing Natural Topography.**

The proposed amendment requires the type, intensity and structural design of any development proposed for a site to be appropriate to the existing natural topography. Site alterations will be limited. Design criteria will emphasize site designs that fit the topography, not changing the topography to fit the design, unless an off-site mitigation plan for the development of a site with significant grades has been approved.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

**Amendment #73**

**Technical Amendment to Policy 1.3.5 [C] on Cluster Developments in Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed amendment requires development to be clustered away from preservation areas on to non-environmentally sensitive portions of the site. Clustering development outside conservation features will be the preferred option except where the conservation features consist solely of significant grades, an off-site mitigation plan has been approved and no other conservation or preservation features will be affected and will be implemented through the use of density incentives to be applied on-site.

FOR

AGAINST

**SAMPLE BALLOT  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE  
NOVEMBER 2, 2004**

**To vote, fill in the circle next to your choice. Use ink or pencil. If you make a mistake, don't hesitate to ask for a new ballot. If you erase or make other marks, your vote may not count.**

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

**Amendment #74**

**Technical Revisions to Policy 1.3.8  
[C] on No Non-Environmentally  
Sensitive Areas in Comprehensive  
Plan.**

The proposed amendment requires the allowable density to be clustered in the portion of the site that will have the least impact on the natural resources being impacted when there are no non-environmentally sensitive areas on which to cluster on a parcel unless the conservation features consist solely of significant grades, an off-site mitigation plan has been approved and no other conservation or preservation features will be affected.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

**Amendment #76**

**Amendment to Policy 1.3.10 [C] on  
Off-Site Mitigation Strategies in  
Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed amendment outlines preferred hierarchy of off-site mitigation strategies for significant grades such as participation of a mitigation banks established within the same major drainage basin as well as another major drainage basin. Other mitigation strategies include bona fide preservation and management on other property owned as well as fees-in-lieu provided to Local Government to acquire and manage property to compensate for the loss of environmental services, plus a stormwater management surcharge.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

**Amendment #78**

**Amendment to Insert New Policy  
1.4.23 in Tallahassee Comprehensive  
Land Use Plan.**

The proposed amendment requires that by 2005, the Tallahassee-Leon County Comprehensive Plan and their respective land development regulations will include provisions relating to regional recreational facilities. These regulations will establish a definition of regional recreational facilities and will specify the Future Land Use Map categories and zoning districts. Additionally, this will require a greater level of review and additional site design criteria to address the off-site impacts.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

**Amendment #75**

**Amendment to Policy 1.3.9 [C] on  
Environmental and Ecological  
Services in Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed amendment environmental and ecological services to be taken to include habitat, nutrient uptake, carbon sequestration, flood detention, water storage, and related function. Without measures to ensure continued delivery, off-site mitigation may result in a net loss of such services and their economic contribution to the community. The hierarchy of preferred development strategies include: compatible designs conservation and preservation features, minimize impacts to on-site conservation and preservation, and local government Land Development Regulations.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

**Amendment #77**

**Amendment to Insert New Definition  
for Offsite Mitigation in  
Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed amendment defines offsite mitigation as compensation for the impacts of development on significant environmental resources in areas deemed important for infill or related conversions of land use by preserving, restoring, and enhancing the environmental functions of ecosystems elsewhere.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

**Amendment #79**

**Amendment to Insert New Definition  
for Private Recreational Facilities in  
Leon County Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed amendment defines Private Recreational Facilities as recreational resources provided by the private sector.

FOR

AGAINST

**SAMPLE BALLOT  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE  
NOVEMBER 2, 2004**

**To vote, fill in the circle next to your choice. Use ink or pencil. If you make a mistake, don't hesitate to ask for a new ballot. If you erase or make other marks, your vote may not count.**

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #80**

**Amendment to Insert New Definition  
for Commercial Recreational  
Facilities in Leon County  
Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed amendment defines Commercial Recreational Facilities as facilities operated by the private sector as for profit or not-for-profit.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #81**

**Amendment to Insert New Definition  
for Residential Recreational Facilities  
in Leon County Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed amendment defines Residential Recreational Facilities as facilities located within and associated with residential developments.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #82**

**Technical Revisions to Policy 1.4.22  
[L] in Leon County Comprehensive  
Land Use Plan.**

The proposed amendment allows the County to waive all street access, intersection and adjoining use restrictions for allowed uses internal to the Activity Center future land use category. The exception to this policy is that access shall be prohibited to any local street within a recorded or unrecorded residentially platted subdivision. It is not the intent of this policy to waive landscaping or buffer requirements.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #83**

**Amendment to Amend Neighborhood  
Boundary Matrix in Comprehensive  
Plans.**

The proposed amendment amends the City of Tallahassee Land Use Development Matrix and the Leon County Land Use Development Matrix.  
**\*(See Attachments-multiple pages).**

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #84**

**Technical Revisions to Policy 1.8.4[I]  
on Citizen Initiated Plan Amendments  
in Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed amendment requires any citizen initiated plan amendment requests that have been withdrawn subsequent to receiving a recommendation from the LPA or which has been denied will have to wait until one amendment application period has passed before resubmitting a future land use map amendment request for the same parcel or parcels. This does not prohibit staff, LPA or elected commissions from initiating a map on a previously denied request on subject parcel(s).

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

---

**Amendment #85**

**Request for Future Land Use Map  
#2004-2-M-004 from Residential  
Preservation to Mixed Use A.**

The proposed amendment requests a future land use change of 9.72 acres fronting on the south side of Interstate 10, west of Old Bainbridge and north of Portland Avenue from Residential Preservation to Mixed Use A.

FOR

AGAINST

**SAMPLE BALLOT  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE  
NOVEMBER 2, 2004**

**To vote, fill in the circle next to your choice. Use ink or pencil. If you make a mistake, don't hesitate to ask for a new ballot. If you erase or make other marks, your vote may not count.**

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

**Amendment #86**  
**Request for Future Land Use Map  
#2004-2-M-006 from Mixed-Use C to  
University Transition.**

The proposed amendment requests a future land use change of 54.75 acres fronting on both sides of West Call Street, north side of the bike trail and the west side of Stadium Drive and Murphree Street from Mixed-Use C to University Transition.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

**Amendment #87**  
**Request for Future Land Use Map  
#2004-2-M-007 from Residential  
Preservation to Mixed Use A.**

The proposed amendment requests a future land use change of 2.11 acres located on the south side of West Tharpe Street and the east side of Old Bainbridge from Residential Preservation to Mixed Use A.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

**Amendment #88**  
**Request for Future Land Use Map  
#2004-2-M-008 from Rural to Mixed  
Use A.**

The proposed amendment requests a future land use change of 79.15 acres located on the south side of Tower Road, west of Bombadi Drive from Rural to Mixed Use A.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

**Amendment #89**  
**Technical Revisions to Policy 2.1.7  
[C] on Stormwater Treatment in  
Tallahassee Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed amendment within the Conservation Element requires local government to develop a program for retrofitting developed areas which lack adequate facilities for treating stormwater runoff by defining and implementing a sequence of intermediate milestones necessary to achieve the retrofit objective, specifically fund and initiate a work program to quantify water quality problems, costs and mitigation methods. By 2005, polices shall be adopted into the comprehensive plan that reflect these objectives including program funding targets.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

**Amendment #90**  
**Technical Revisions to Policy 1.4.2  
[SM] on Stormwater Treatment in  
Tallahassee Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed amendment within the Utilities Element-Stormwater Management Sub-Element requires existing developed sites which do not achieve the level of stormwater treatment required by the comprehensive plan for development by 2006 to be required to have a retrofit plan in accordance with the adopted retrofit portion of the stormwater management plan.

FOR

AGAINST

**LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE**  
*THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE*

**Amendment #91**  
**Technical Revisions to Land Use  
Element-University Transition  
Section of the Comprehensive Plan.**

The proposed amendment requires the University Transition land use category to only be applied through amendment to the Future Land Use Map to lands located generally within the rectangle created by the Florida State University main campus and Florida A&M University, Tallahassee Community College/Lively Technical campuses and Innovation Park. The Gaines Street Revitalization Plan study is excluded from this area however it is not intended to encourage premature conversion of existing single-family residential neighborhoods.

FOR

AGAINST

**SAMPLE BALLOT  
LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE  
NOVEMBER 2, 2004**

**To vote, fill in the circle next to your choice. Use ink or pencil. If you make a mistake, don't hesitate to ask for a new ballot. If you erase or make other marks, your vote may not count.**

<b>LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE</b>
<i>THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE</i>
<b>Amendment #92</b>
<b>Technical Revisions and Updates to Functional Classification Maps in Transportation Element of Comprehensive Plan.</b>
The proposed amendment provides updates to the functional classifications of portions of Blair Stone and Bradfordville Roads in the Transportation Element <b>(See Northeast and Southeast Maps)</b> .
<input type="radio"/> FOR
<input type="radio"/> AGAINST

<b>LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE</b>
<i>THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE</i>
<b>Amendment #93</b>
<b>Technical Revisions to Policy 2.1.3 on Map of Annexation Study Areas in Intergovernment Coordination Element.</b>
The proposed amendment requires the City to maintain a map of annexation study areas. The annexation study maps shall be amended at least biennially to add additional areas which qualify for annexation. The City shall provide the County with a copy of the amended annexation study area maps after each amendment.
<input type="radio"/> FOR
<input type="radio"/> AGAINST

<b>LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE</b>
<i>THESE QUESTIONS WILL APPEAR IN ALL PRECINCTS IN LEON COUNTY/CITY OF TALLAHASSEE</i>
<b>Amendment #94</b>
<b>Technical Revisions to Policy 2.1.4 on Annexation Procedures in the Tallahassee Comprehensive Plan.</b>
The proposed amendment requires the City to maintain a map of annexation study areas. The proposed amendment requires any annexation by the City to follow the requirements of Chapter 171, Florida Statutes. This includes how land use compatibility will be ensured, how facilities will be provided, and by which entity, how level of service standards will be made consistent, and water and/or sewer rebates due to the petitioner. The annexation procedure will provide notice of petition, parcel number(s), and ownership information for official review to relevant City departments.
<input type="radio"/> FOR
<input type="radio"/> AGAINST